Early Childhood Policy In Institutions of Higher Education



An Initiative Funded by the Heising-Simons Foundation and the Buffett Early Childhood Fund

Created originally as a prototypical syllabus by the Early Childhood Policy in Institutions of Higher Education (ECPIHE) initiative, this document has been modified for use by (*insert university name*) for use in its (*insert program name*). This document supports ECPIHE's foundational intent to create and support a cadre of scholars who address early childhood policy. Moreover, it acknowledges ECPIHE's purposeful creation of comprehensive and adaptive materials that are designed to be modified to reflect the instructional goals and needs of diverse contexts and users. For more information about ECPIHE and/or to learn about additional coursework related to the initiative, please visit http://policyforchildren.org/ecpihe/

COURSE SYLLABUS I

EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION AND CARE: HISTORY, CHILD DEVELOPMENT, AND EQUITY

Course I of IV

Course Semester
Course Meeting Dates, Times, and Place

Professor Name

Professor Title Professor Office Professor Phone Professor Email Office Hours

BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

In the United States, a national consensus supporting policies and programs that promote the well-being and educational success of young children is taking hold. Many Americans are aware of the critical importance of the first five years of life and recognize that desired developmental outcomes can be derailed by social, political, and economic circumstances, such as poverty,

racism, and inequality of opportunity. This course examines three important questions related to these complex intersecting issues, specifically:

- What are the historical antecedents and intellectual traditions that have shaped the study of child development in the U.S., a society riven by inequality?
- What is achieved developmentally during the first five years of life that have contributed to our understanding of this period's importance as a public investment?
- What are the factors that can derail optimal early development and increase developmental and educational disparities?

This course is designed for students who wish to critically consider the developmental science that has informed policy and program development; the social, political and economic forces that have shaped the study of young children and contributed to developmental trajectories that benefit some children while disadvantaging others; the changing roles of families; and the implications of these issues for policies affecting young children.

GENERAL COURSE DESCRIPTION AND CONTENT

Child development theory and research have had a profound influence on early childhood education and care (ECEC) policies, programs, and services in the United States and internationally. In 12 two-hour sessions, this course provides an overview of the research on young children (birth to age 5), demographics of this population, critical domains of development, significance of early brain development, and issues of inequality and disproportionality that deeply shape child outcomes. It attempts to explore what develops in the first years of life, why this period is so critical, what have been the central questions that have driven both research and policy, whether policies and research have helped to reduce inequality and advance equity, and what critical issues are still unanswered (or even unasked). The course familiarizes students with the cultural ideas, beliefs, values, and social purposes that have shaped and continue to influence children and families in the United States. Further, the course presents research from a range of disciplines (e.g., psychology, sociology, education, neuroscience, economics) to help students understand the complexity of developmental factors relevant to ECEC policy development and program design. The goals of the course are to help students understand the importance of early development, the critical need to develop ECEC policies grounded in research and practice, and the effectiveness and limitations of ECEC policies to address fundamental issues of inequality. Finally, the course is intended to enable students to place their own professional interests and concerns in a broader historical and educational context.

The organization of the course sessions is as follows:

1. The History of Early Childhood Education and Care, Inequality, and the Emergence of Child Development Science

- 2. Child Poverty, Racial Disparities, and Inequity: Early Childhood Education and Care's Enduring Challenges
- 3. The Emergence of the Early Childhood Education and Care System in the United States: Past to the Present
- 4. Early Childhood Education and Care Science, Neuroscience, and Infant and Toddler Development
- 5. Infants and Toddlers: Early Development, Inequity, and Early Childhood Education and Care Policy
- 6. Preschool Children: Poverty, Language Development, and Early Learning
- 7. Young Multilingual Children and Bilingual Children: Language Development and Federal and State Policy
- 8. ECEC Policies and Black, Indigenous, and Latinx Children: In Search of Solutions to Factors Related to the Preschool-to-Prison Pipeline
- 9. Early Childhood Education and Care Programs: Supporting Homeless Children and Immigrant and Refugee Children Who Experience Trauma
- 10. Growing Income Disparities, Lack of Opportunity, and Early Childhood Policies Targeted at Both Children and Their Families
- 11. and 12. Student Paper Presentations and Discussion

COURSE GOALS

Upon successful completion of the course, students will:

- 1. Understand past and current social purposes and forces (e.g., inequality, role of women, racial segregation, immigration) that shape the development of policies related to early development and ECEC for children who represent the racial, cultural, linguistic, and social class diversity of the United States.
- 2. Understand the unique developmental period from birth to age 5, and the role ECEC principles and science have played in influencing current public policy concerns related to ECEC (e.g., equity, segregation).
- 3. Understand the contributions of specific areas of research (e.g., neuroscience, trauma) and specific ECEC principles on policy.
- 4. Understand the ECEC policies grounded in equity that promote inclusion and social well-being, including bilingual education, income transfer policies, and high-quality ECEC for those furthest from opportunity.

- 5. Understand the tensions that may exist in addressing inequities through targeted policies that increase segregation of particular groups of children, such as children who are dual language/multilingual speakers, boys of color, and refugee children.
- 6. Identify issues and questions related to child development research and policy that are still unanswered, as well as the possible limitations of policy solutions to particularly challenging social problems.
- 7. Articulate their own values and experiences and hear those of others, in order to understand how various factors affect our own views of children and their needs.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

All written work should be submitted by email to the professor by 5 PM on the indicated dates. All papers should be double-spaced with 1-inch margins and in 12-point Times New Roman type. Papers <u>must</u> follow APA style, 6th edition. All late submissions will be downgraded. CLASS PARTICIPATION (10%)

In order to build knowledge collectively and individually, each member must come to class prepared to exchange ideas, criticisms, and perspectives on course readings and assignments; and to challenge assumptions and rigorously, albeit collegially, debate ideas. To that end, all participants are expected to complete all course readings assigned for a particular class meeting. As you read and prepare for class, consider the following questions:

- 1. What are the critical ideas? What is the particular point of view of the author(s)?
- 2. With what do you agree and disagree?
- 3. What questions did this week's readings raise for you?
- 4. How do the ideas, topics, and issues raised relate to course themes and previous discussions, lectures, or resources?
- 5. What is not clear?
- 6. How does the material you read increase your understanding of child development, policy, or other key issues?

SHORT RESPONSE PAPERS (3 @ 10% each = 30%)

Students' short response papers should respond to three of the following articles (one article per response paper). These articles are on topics that are related to the course. Students are expected to use class readings (with appropriate attribution) to refute and/or support the author's arguments, positions, and assertions. Given the length of the paper it will be necessary for you to mount a clearly reasoned and concise response to the articles you choose. Students' reaction papers should be a maximum of four pages in length, double spaced.

- 1. The first paper is due by Friday at 5:00 p.m. of week 2
- 2. The second is due by Friday at 5:00 p.m. of week 4
- 3. The third is due by Friday at 5:00 p.m. in week 5

Education – Jones, N. H. (2016, June 11). Choosing a school for my daughter in New York City. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2016/06/12/magazine/choosing-a-school-for-my-daughter-in-a-segregated-city.html

Parental Agency and Education – Anderson, M. D. (2018, May 17). The radical self-reliance of Black homeschooling. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2018/05/black-homeschooling/560636/

Child Care – Cohn, J. (2013, April 15). The hell of American day care. *The New Republic*. Retrieved from https://newrepublic.com/article/112892/hell-american-day-care

Pre-K Programs – Chen, M. (2019, February 19). Early-childhood education initiatives are promising more than they deliver: Pre-K programs are failing. *The Nation*. Retrieved from https://www.thenation.com/article/education-pre-k-inequality-segregation/

Bilingual Education – Williams, C. (2017, December 28). The intrusion of White families into bilingual schools. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2017/12/the-middle-class-takeover-of-bilingual-schools/549278/

EQUITY AND ECEC POLICY REPORT (30%)

Small Group Project: A number of ECEC policies and programs have been developed to address perceived inequities due to structural and institutional barriers that contribute to unequal childhood outcomes for some groups of young children. Select one of these programs/policies (e.g., Head Start, Early Head Start, Bilingual Education Assessment [BEA], Child Care and Development Block Grant [CCDBG], Individuals with Disabilities Act [IDEA], and so forth) and research its origins, development, and intended goals, especially those related to equity and inequity.

- 1. Form your group. Assess what work needs to be done to complete this project by Week 8. Plan how often you will need to meet and how you will complete all of the tasks required. Groups may be as small as two students, but the maximum size will be announced during the first class meeting and will be based on class size and the judgment of the course instructor.
- 2. The group will identify the ECEC policy/program it will examine and send this information to the instructor. The instructor will approve the group's selection and may, if too many students are researching the same policy/program, suggest alternative policy/program options.
- 3. Gather the relevant documents, resources, and information that will help you to understand what led to this ECEC policy/program's development (e.g., legislation that authorized it, reauthorization legislation, research used to justify it, media coverage, legislative hearings, expert testimony, and all other information you deem relevant).
- 4. Address the following six issues and concerns in a report (elaborated below):
 - i. *Child Development:* What child development principles and research support this policy/program?
 - ii. *Intended Populations Served:* How many children and/or families are served by it currently? What are their characteristics? Who is not being served and why?
 - iii. *Scope of Services Provided:* What are the scope of services it provides?

iv. Agency That Oversees This Program

- v. Equity Issues:
 - What were the equity issues that led to the development of legislation, regulations, and implementation of the policy? Explain these clearly.
 - Gather research evidence that helps you to understand if the policy/program you selected does reduce disparities and if so, is it sufficient? Be prepared to defend your position. What is the evidence that disparities are reduced, and for whom? Is every child or family who needs this service, program, or policy eligible to receive it?
 - Does the program or policy increase inequity? If so, how? (Be sure to describe how you are assessing the increase in inequity).
- vi. *Recommendations Regarding Equity:* What are two or three specific changes you would make to this policy/program to increase equity in terms of access, affordability, availability, and other factors that you feel will improve its reach and reduce child or family disparities.

Equity and ECEC Policy/Program Report Format: Your group's report must:

- 1. Include a <u>written report</u> (5-7 pages); a <u>class presentation</u> (you must use a PowerPoint of no more than eight slides or other visual tools), and <u>handouts of supporting information</u>. The written report should address the six items outlined above.
- 2. Have the following sections:
 - i. A title page with all team members included
 - ii. An introduction that explains your report's focus and purpose
 - iii. Full and informative responses to the six items outlined above. This section must be written in a narrative form but organized with headings that identify the specific item you are addressing
 - iv. Appendices of supporting documents your group has determined will help your classmates understand the ECEC policy/program
 - v. References in APA format

Your group must do one of the following; 1) distribute your report (including appendices) electronically prior to the class meeting on Week 6; OR, 2) distribute hard copies in class. During Week 6 each group will make an oral report to the class regarding what they learned about the specific ECEC policy/program they researched. This oral presentation must include either a PowerPoint or alternative visual tools.

FINAL PAPER (30%)

Students should develop a final paper related to core topics of the course, specifically child development, equity, and ECEC policy. This paper should reflect the student's personal research interests and their possible intersections with course content. Students are encouraged to think critically and to develop a paper that deepens their understanding of course content, themes, and readings. Manuscripts should be approximately 20 double-spaced pages in length and should adhere to APA format guidelines. By the fourth week of the semester students must submit to the course instructor an abstract (300 to 500 words) that describes the nature of the manuscript that will be turned in at the end of the term. Course time will be allotted to discuss specifics of the

assignment during the semester and students are strongly encouraged to share their progress on their manuscript with their colleagues. In class on Week 10 all students will electronically distribute a copy of their manuscript to the class. All class members are expected to critically read each other's papers and to come to class prepared to discuss them. In the final two weeks of class students will briefly present their course papers to the class for discussion.

COURSE GRADING

•	Class Participation	10%
•	Short Response Papers - 3 @ 5% each	30%
•	Equity and ECEC Policy Report	30%
•	Final Paper	30%

REQUIRED TEXTS

Lightfoot, C., Cole, M., & Cole, S. R. (2018). *The development of children* (Eighth Edition). New York, NY: Worth Publishers.

All other readings are listed below.

COURSE TOPICS AND READINGS

Session 1: The History of Early Childhood Education and Care, Inequality, and the Emergence of Child Development Science

THEMES: Every country's approach to ECEC is a cultural expression; a matter of conscious and unconscious choices that involve including and excluding, privileging and devaluing certain policies and practices. These educational policies and practices are, at all times, facilitated and constrained by each country's particular history, views of children, circumstances, values, priorities, and more. A critical factor in the U.S. and many other Western societies has been the influence of research on both public attitudes toward early childhood as a critical period of development, and public policies designed to ameliorate perceived challenges that threaten development due to inequality and other factors. This week we will focus on how, why, when, and where the various forms of organized ECEC first emerged in Europe and the U.S., with consideration of the influence that Enlightenment philosophy, industrialization, changing views of children, and changing family structure and roles had on these developments. This class also looks at various early historical figures that had a lasting influence on the practice of caring and educating young children. In addition, we will identify the foundational ideas and research that have shaped child development research and ECEC science, and begin to develop an understanding of the structure of unequal childhoods in the U.S.

GOALS:

- To understand the social and historical factors (e.g., racialization, social movements for justice and equality, changing nature of women's roles) that have shaped ECEC development in the U.S.
- To understand the development of the study of the child and key theorists, both classic and modern, who have shaped the field.
- To understand the importance of neuroscience and ECEC.
- To understand the demographics of children birth to age 5 in the U.S., the emerging trends in that population, and the scope and distribution of inequality (e.g., racial, social class, gender) among young children.

READINGS:

Beatty, B. (1995). The school of infancy: European origins of the American preschool movement. In *Preschool education in America: The culture of young children from the colonial era to the present* (pp. 1-19). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Children's Defense Fund (2017). *The state of America's children 2017*. Washington, DC [Read sections on young child, birth to age 5 data]. Retrieved from https://www.childrensdefense.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/2017-soac.pdf

Lightfoot, C., Cole, M., & Cole, S. R. (2018). *The development of children* (Eighth Edition). New York, NY: Worth Publishers. [Preface and Chapter 1: The Study of Human Development; Developmental Science; Child, Society, and Science; and The Central Issues of Developmental Science.]

Merolla, D. M., & Jackson, O. (2019). Structural racism as the fundamental cause of the academic achievement gap. *Sociology Compass*, (13)6, 1-13. doi:10.1111/soc4.12696

Michel, S. (2011). *The history of child care in the United States*. VCU Libraries Social Welfare History Project. Retrieved from https://socialwelfare.library.vcu.edu/programs/child-care-the-american-history/

ASSIGNMENTS:

There is no assignment for this week.

Session 2: Child Poverty, Racial Disparities, and Inequity: Early Childhood Education and Care's Enduring Challenges

THEMES: During this class, we consider inequality as a reality of ECEC in the U.S. It is a reflection of historic and current structural and institutional injustice and oppression that has privileged a racial and social class hierarchy, patriarchy, and heteronormativity. Social stratification, segregation, and ideology form the unique developmental context for children of

color, children for whom English is a second language, children with diverse abilities, and children in poverty among others. We will consider the extent and impact of poverty, segregation, and racialization on young children; a model for understanding the development of young children in a racialized society; and the value of critical race theory for understanding disparities in ECEC programs and policies.

GOALS:

- To understand that in the U.S., early development (birth to age 5) is profoundly influenced by structural inequality which disproportionately influences the developmental and educational outcomes of children of color, multiple language learners, children with diverse abilities, and children in poverty.
- To understand the structural and contextual issues and factors that shape unequal childhoods and the possible ways policy may intervene to dismantle and/or perpetuate inequality.
- To consider the application of critical race theory to the study of ECEC policy and research.
- To understand the demographics of child poverty, segregation, and racialization for children birth to age 5 in the U.S.
- To consider appropriate policy responses and research efforts that might help to improve child outcomes and advance equity.

READINGS:

Badger, E., Miller, C. C., Pearce, A., and Quealy, K. (2018, March 19). Extensive data shows the punishing reach of racism for Black boys. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2018/03/19/upshot/race-class-white-and-black-men.html

Collins, D. (2013, May 28). The wealthy kids are all right. *The American Prospect*. Retrieved from https://prospect.org/article/wealthy-kids-are-all-right

Frankenberg, E. (2016). *Segregation at an early age*. Center for Education and Civil Rights, University of Pennsylvania. Retrieved from https://school-diversity.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Segregation At An Early Age Frankenberg 2016.pdf

Garcia Coll, C., Lamberty, G., Jenkins, R., McAdoo, H. P., Crnic, K., Wasik, B. H., & Garcia, H. V. (1996). An integrative model for the study of developmental competencies in minority children, *Child Development*, *67*(5), 1891-1914.

Ladson-Billings, G. (1998). Just what is critical race theory and what's it doing in a nice field like education? *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 11(1), 7-24. Retrieved from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/095183998236863

McCarty, A. T. (2016). Child poverty in the United States: A tale of devastation and the promise of hope, *Sociology Compass*, *10*(7), 623-639. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5589198/

Grunewald, R. (2017). *The promise of early childhood development in Indian country*. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis. Retrieved from

https://www.minneapolisfed.org/publications/community-dividend/the-promise-of-early-childhood-development-in-indian-country

ASSIGNMENTS:

Short Response Paper 1: Select an article from the list on page 4 of the syllabus to which you want to respond. These articles are on topics that are related to the course. You are expected to use class readings (with appropriate attribution) to refute and/or support the author's arguments, positions, and assertions. Given the length of the paper it will be necessary for you to mount a clearly reasoned and concise response to the article you choose. Your paper should be no more than four pages double-spaced with a maximum of one-inch margins, in APA format.

Session 3: The Emergence of the Early Childhood Education and Care System in the United States: Past to the Present

THEMES: This week we will take a broad look at the history of ECEC in the United States, examining the social and historical variables that contributed to its emergence as a field. The influence of emerging fields of professional practice and knowledge, such as psychology and social work, on caring for and educating young children will be discussed. The rise of government-funded initiatives intended to support families in need will be addressed. The session will also consider the influence of changes in urban poverty, growth in maternal employment, changing family structure, early development and neuroscience, and immigration patterns, among other factors. In addition, we will begin to examine a foundational concept of early developmental research, namely that all human development is due to an interaction between the individual, culture, and biology.

GOALS:

- To understand critical social and historical antecedents of ECEC in the U.S.
- To understand why issues related to the welfare and education of children became a concern of the government and contributed to the rise of particular professional fields of expertise and practice.
- To understand the contribution of various factors and forces (e.g., social movements, research, immigration, and economic inequality) to the development of an ECEC system.
- To understand the fundamental ideas that frame all human development as cultural and biological.

READINGS:

Beatty, B. (1995). A place for children in the modern world: Private nursery schools in the 1910s and 1920s. In *Preschool education in America: The culture of young children from the colonial era to the present* (pp. 132-150). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Britto, P. R., Yoshikawa, H., & Boller, K. (2011). Quality of childhood development programs in global contexts: Rationale for investment, conceptual framework and implications for equity. *Social Policy Report*, *25*(2), 1-31. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED519240.pdf

Halpern, R. (1999). The emergence of supportive social services. In *Fragile families, fragile solutions* (pp. 29-48). New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

Lightfoot, C., Cole, M., & Cole, S. R. (2018). *The development of children* (Eighth Edition). New York, NY: Worth Publishers. [Chapter 2: Bio-cultural Foundations and Inheriting Culture; The Coevolution of Culture and Biology; and Summary.]

Vogtman, J. (2017). Undervalued: A brief history of women's care work and child care policy in the United States. Washington, DC: National Women's Law Center. Retrieved from https://nwlc.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/final_nwlc_Undervalued2017.pdf

ASSIGNMENTS:

There is no assignment for this class.

Session 4: Early Childhood Education and Care Science, Neuroscience, and Infant and Toddler Development

THEMES: This week we consider the important developmental achievements of infants during the first months of life and in particular the interrelated advances in brain, physical, and social development. The research we will read identifies the key principles of child development that have emerged from neuroscience and informed ECEC policies for children birth to age 5. In light of this seminal research we will consider the state of infant care in the U.S. and the lack of widely available, high-quality infant care.

GOALS:

- To understand the unique developmental achievements that begin in infancy and the interrelationship and interdependence of domains of development (e.g., physical and cognitive).
- To recognize the significance of neurological development in the infant and the importance of neuroscience research to our understanding of early development.
- To understand that key principles of child development that have influenced ECEC policy.
- To consider appropriate policy responses and additional research efforts that might help to improve child outcomes and advance equity, based on neuroscience and infant development.

READINGS:

Lightfoot, C., Cole, M., & Cole, S. R. (2018). *The development of children* (Eighth Edition). New York, NY: Worth Publishers. [Chapter 4: The First Three Months, Physical Growth, Brain Development, Becoming Coordinated with the Social World, and Summary; Chapter 5: Physical and Cognitive Development—Brain Development, and Summary.]

National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. (2004). *Young children develop in an environment of relationships: Working paper #1*. Retrieved from https://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/wp1/

National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. (2005/2014). *Excessive Stress Disrupts the Architecture of the Developing Brain: Working paper #3, Updated edition*. Retrieved from https://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/wp3/

National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. (2011). *Building the brain's "air traffic control" system: How early experiences shape the development of executive function, Working paper #11*. Retrieved from https://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/building-the-brains-air-traffic-control-system-how-early-experiences-shape-the-development-of-executive-function/

Jessen-Howard, S., Malik, R., Workman, S., & Hamm, K. (2018). *Understanding infant and toddler deserts*. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress. Retrieved from https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/early-childhood/reports/2018/10/31/460128/understanding-infant-toddler-child-care-deserts/

ASSIGNMENTS:

Short Response Paper 2: Select an article from the list on page 4 of the syllabus to which you want to respond. These articles are on topics that are related to the course. You are expected to use class readings (with appropriate attribution) to refute and/or support the author's arguments, positions, and assertions. Given the length of the paper it will be necessary for you to mount a clearly reasoned and concise response to the article you choose. Your paper should be no more than four pages double-spaced with a maximum of one-inch margins, in APA format.

Session 5: Infants and Toddlers: Early Development, Inequity, and Early Childhood Education and Care Policy

THEMES: This class builds on our developing understanding of the critical importance of infant development and the particular interaction of critical factors (e.g., cultural ways of knowing, infant reactivity, caregiver responsively) during this period. We will integrate our understanding of infant physical growth and brain development discussed in the previous class with new information on the infant's social-emotional development and emerging sense of self. In addition, we will consider issues of equity and federal and state policy responses to the critical needs of infants and their families.

GOALS:

- To understand what it means to be "social" and the critical nature of social-emotional development during the first years of life.
- To understand the interrelationship and interdependence of domains of development (e.g., physical, social, and cognitive).
- To recognize the significance of neurological development in the infant.
- To understand that key principles of child development and equity that have influenced home visiting and Early Head Start.
- To consider appropriate policy responses and research efforts that might help to improve infant outcomes and advance equity.

READINGS:

Lightfoot, C., Cole, M., & Cole, S. R. (2018). *The development of children* (Eighth Edition). New York, NY: Worth Publishers. [Chapter 6: Social Emotional Development in Infancy, The Nature of Infant Emotions and Emotional Expressions, The First Emotional Relationships, A Sense of Self, and Summary.]

Tout, K., Halle, T., Daily, S., Albertson-Junkans, L., & Moodie, S. (2013). The research base for a birth through age eight state policy framework [pp. 10-36]. Retrieved from https://www.childtrends.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/2013-42AllianceBirthto81.pdf

Zero to Three and CLASP (2017). *Early Head Start: A critical support for infants, toddlers, and families*. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved from https://www.clasp.org/sites/default/files/publications/2017/10/2017_EarlyHeadStartACriticalSupport.pdf

ASSIGNMENTS:

Short Response Paper 3: Select an article from the list on page 4 of the syllabus to which you want to respond. These articles are on topics that are related to the course. You are expected to use class readings (with appropriate attribution) to refute and/or support the author's arguments, positions, and assertions. Given the length of the paper it will be necessary for you to mount a clearly reasoned and concise response to the article you choose. Your paper should be no more than four pages double-spaced with a maximum of one-inch margins, in APA format.

Session 6: Preschool Children: Poverty, Language Development, and Early Learning

THEMES: Early childhood education and care policy and research have focused on how to understand and prevent poor educational outcomes for children furthest from opportunity (e.g., poor children, children of color, immigrant children, children with diverse abilities). Building on what we have learned in previous classes about early development, this session considers research on the cognitive and language development of preschoolers and the role of poverty in early learning; and neuroscience on the importance of executive functioning and self-regulation

to school success and learning. In addition, we will discuss the current capacity of policies and ECEC programs to respond effectively to the developmental and educational challenges of young children who may need additional support to adjust successfully to the demands and expectations of formal preschool and ECEC settings.

GOALS:

- To understand the developmental achievements in language, physical growth, and cognitive development that occur in the preschool years (ages 3-5).
- To understand how poverty may place early cognitive development at risk.
- To understand the implications of neuroscience to preschool success and particularly to issues such as executive functioning and self-regulation.
- To understand the current state of early childhood education and care policies and programs to adequately and effectively respond to the needs of young children.
- To consider appropriate policy responses and research efforts that might help to improve child outcomes and advance equity.

READINGS:

Blair, C. (2010). Stress and the development of self-regulation in context. *Child Development Perspectives*, 4(3), 181-188.

Center on the Developing Child (2012). *InBrief: Executive function*. Retrieved from https://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/inbrief-executive-function/

Chen, M. (2019, February 19). Early-childhood education initiatives are promising more than they deliver: Pre-K programs are failing. *The Nation*. Retrieved from https://www.thenation.com/article/education-pre-k-inequality-segregation/

Lightfoot, C., Cole, M., & Cole, S. R. (2018). *The development of children* (Eighth Edition). New York, NY: Worth Publishers. [Chapter 7: Language Development in Early childhood Education and Care; and Chapter 8: Physical and Cognitive Development in Early Childhood Education and Care.]

Mani, A., Mullainathan, S., Shafir, E., & Zhao, J. (2013). Poverty impedes cognitive function. *Science*, *341*(6149), 976-980.

Ursache, A., Blair, C., & Raver, C. C. (2012). The promotion of self-regulation as a means of enhancing school readiness and early achievement in children at risk for school failure. *Child Development Perspectives*, 6(2), 122-128.

ASSIGNMENTS:

There is no assignment for this class.

Session 7: Young Multilingual and Bilingual Children: Language Development and Federal and State Policy

THEMES: The United States has a long and ambivalent history regarding the use of languages other than English in schools and public activities. While at various times and places, Indigenous languages and "foreign" languages have been the language of instruction in some public schools and tribal-lead schools, the belief that English should be the only sanctioned "public" language is widespread and persistent. During this class we will discuss the changing demographics related to young multilingual and bilingual children, research findings related to state and federal policies and multilingual and bilingual children, and the history of language policies associated with Indigenous language communities and immigrant children. In addition, we will discuss recent state legislative, funding, and program issues in bilingual education within political contexts that may support or deter bilingual education.

GOALS:

- To understand the history of early childhood education and care language policies as they relate to young children who are learning English and are bilingual or multilingual.
- To understand the profound demographic shift occurring in the U.S., driven by young children of color and children who are bilingual or multilingual.
- To understand how state and federal policies have or have not responded effectively to the developmental and educational needs of multilingual and bilingual children, including speakers of Indigenous languages and immigrant children.
- To consider appropriate policy responses and research efforts that might help to improve child outcomes and advance equity.

READINGS:

Espinosa, L. M., & Calderon, M. (2015). State early learning and development standards/guidelines, policies & related practices: How responsive are they to the needs of young dual language learners? BUILD Initiative. Retrieved from http://buildinitiative.org/Portals/0/Uploads/Documents/BuildDLLReport2015.pdf

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2017). *Promoting the educational success of children and youth learning English: Promising futures*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. [Chapter 2: Policy Context and Chapter 13: Recommendations.] Retrieved from https://www.nap.edu/read/24677/chapter/1

McCarty, T. L. (2003). Revitalizing Indigenous language in homogenizing times. *Comparative Education*, *39*(2), 147-163. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228956465 Revitalizing Indigenous Languages in Homogenizing Times

Park, M., Zong, J., & Batalova, J. (2018). *Growing superdiversity among young U.S. dual language learners and its implications*. Washington, DC: Migration Policy Institute. Retrieved

from https://www.migrationpolicy.org/research/growing-superdiversity-among-young-us-dual-language-learners-and-its-implications

Severns, M. (2012). Starting early with dual language learners: First lessons from Illinois. New America Foundation. Retrieved from https://static.newamerica.org/attachments/7424-growing-research-consensus-on-effective-strategies-for-dual-language-instruction-in-early-childhood/Starting_Early_With_English_Language_Learners.b6f98ba325b349de9326081842d8 af75.pdf

ASSIGNMENTS:

Equity and ECEC Policy Report: A number of ECEC policies and programs have been developed to address perceived inequities due to structural and institutional barriers that contribute to unequal childhood outcomes for some groups of young children. Select one of these programs/policies (e.g., Head Start, Early Head Start, Bilingual Education Assessment [BEA], Child Care and Development Block Grant [CCDBG], Individuals with Disabilities Act [IDEA], and so forth) and research its origins, development, and intended goals, especially those related to equity and inequity. *See full assignment requirements on pages 5-6 of this syllabus*.

Session 8: ECEC Policies and Black, Indigenous, and Latinx Children: In Search of Solutions to Factors Related to the Preschool-to-Prison Pipeline

THEMES: Black, Indigenous, and Latinx children are disproportionately vulnerable to a set of conditions that may contribute to significant and lifelong developmental and educational risks compared to their White peers. These conditions (e.g., deep poverty, bias and discrimination, under-resourced communities, lack of opportunity, school disciplinary practices) are often referred to as the "preschool-to-prison pipeline" (P2PP). ECEC policies and programs cannot solve, change, or dismantle all of the factors that may be implicated in the P2PP. But, they may make problematic contributions to a long-term developmental trajectory that increases the chances that children of color and in poverty may be at risk for lives crippled by circumstances, including incarceration in adolescence and adulthood. Research on teacher implicit bias, disciplinary practices, absenteeism, and poor quality early learning experiences will be considered in this class. In addition, we will discuss the long-term developmental contribution of high-quality ECEC programs to the P2PP.

GOALS:

- To understand what is meant by the use of the term "preschool-to-prison pipeline" and why it is employed as a metaphor for a set of structural and institutional conditions that operate to disproportionately impact the educational and developmental trajectories of many young Black, Latinx, Indigenous, Asian American, and Pacific Islander children.
- To understand the current state of ECEC federal and state policies and their ability to adequately and effectively respond to absenteeism and the practice of expulsions/suspensions, the developmental needs of children, the ECEC workforce, and the ECEC system.

• To consider appropriate policy responses and research efforts that might help to improve child outcomes and advance equity.

READINGS:

Adamu, M., & Hogan, L. (2015). *Point of entry: The preschool-to-prison pipeline*. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress. Retrieved from https://cdn.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/08000111/PointOfEntry-reportUPDATE.pdf

Bertrand, M., & Pan, J. (2013). The trouble with boys: Social influences and the gender gap in disruptive behavior. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 5(1), 32-64.

Chang, H. N., Russell-Tucker, C. M., & Sullivan, K. (2017). Chronic early absence: What states can do. *Phi Delta Kappan*, *98*(2), 22-27. Retrieved from: https://www.attendanceworks.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/22pdk 98 2-Kappan-Chronic-Absence-2016.pdf

Gilliam, W. S., Maupin, A. N., Reyes, C. R., Accavitti, M., & Shic, F. (2016). *Do early educators' implicit biases regarding sex and race relate to behavior expectations and recommendations of preschool expulsions and suspensions?* New Haven, CT: Yale University Child Study Center. Retrieved from

https://medicine.yale.edu/childstudy/zigler/publications/Preschool%20Implicit%20Bias%20Polic y%20Brief final 9 26 276766 5379 v1.pdf

Lightfoot, C., Cole, M., & Cole, S. R. (2018). *The development of children* (Eighth Edition). New York, NY: Worth Publishers. [Chapter 9: Social Emotional Development in Young Children.]

Reynolds, A. J., Temple, J. A., Robertson, D. L., & Mann, E. A. (2002). Age 21 cost-benefit analysis of the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(4), 267-303. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Judy_Temple/publication/228541174_Age_21_Cost-Benefit_Analysis_of_the_Title_I_Chicago_Child-Parent_Centers/links/0fcfd5069faefc3e21000000.pdf

Administration for Children & Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2015). State and local action to prevent expulsion and suspension in early learning settings. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved from https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/ecd/state and local profiles expulsion.pdf

RECOMMENDED:

Redfield, S. E., & Nance, J. P., (2016). The American Bar Association Joint Task Force on reversing the school-to-prison pipeline preliminary report. *University of Florida Levin College of Law Research Paper No. 16-44; University of Memphis Law Review 1.* Retrieved from https://ssrn.com/abstract=2736323

ASSIGNMENTS:

There is no class assignment for this week.

Class 9: Early Education and Care Programs: Supporting Homeless Children and Immigrant and Refugee Children Who Experience Trauma

THEMES: Children of immigrants (who are born in the U.S.), immigrant children, refugee children, and homeless children may experience developmental challenges due to poverty, migration, social dislocation violence, and other factors. This class will examine these issues through research and popular media presentations to understand how homelessness and immigration may create unique developmental and educational challenges for young children. We will build on our understanding of the complexity of early development and the role of families and other supports in helping children manage the challenges of homelessness and immigration. We will also consider the issues of plasticity and resiliency in responding to developmental challenges. Further, we will consider how ECEC settings may support children and families experiencing significant social dislocation.

GOALS:

- To understand that children are embedded in multiple contexts (e.g., family, neighborhood, preschool, faith community) that influence their development and that they also influence.
- To understand that circumstances that are significantly threatening to child well-being (e.g., deep poverty, war) can contribute to developmental challenges or problems.
- To understand how immigration may influence early development.
- To understand how homelessness may influence early development.
- To understand how early childhood education and care programs and policies may work to support the development of young children who are immigrants, refugees, and/or homeless.
- To consider appropriate policy responses and research efforts that might help to improve child outcomes and advance equity.

READINGS:

Blair, C., Raver, C., Granger, D., Mills-Koonce, R., Hibel, L. & the Family Life Project Key Investigators. (2011). Allostasis and allostatic load in the context of poverty in early childhood. *Developmental Psychopathology*, *23*(3), 845-857. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4167021/

Elliott, A. (2013, December 9). Invisible child: Dasani's homeless life (Parts 1-5). *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/projects/2013/invisible-child/index.html#/?chapt=1

Hernandez, D. J., & Napierala, J. S. (2012). Children in immigrant families: Essential to America's future. Washington, DC: Foundation for Child Development. Retrieved from https://www.fcd-us.org/children-in-immigrant-families-essential-to-americas-future/

Lightfoot, C., Cole, M., & Cole, S. R. (2018). *The development of children* (Eighth Edition). New York, NY: Worth Publishers. [Chapter 10: Contexts of Development, The Family Context, Non-Parental Child Care, Neighborhoods and Communities, Media Contexts, and Summary.]

National Child Traumatic Stress Network. (n.d.). [Explore this resource and read about trauma informed systems and trauma informed care.] Retrieved from https://www.nctsn.org/trauma-informed-systems

Park, M., & Katsiaficas. (2019). *Mitigating the effects of trauma among young immigrants and refugees: The role of early childhood education and care programs*. Migration Policy Institute. Retrieved from https://www.migrationpolicy.org/research/mitigating-effects-trauma-young-children-immigrants-refugees

National Child Traumatic Stress Network. (n.d.). *Creating trauma-informed systems*. Retrieved from https://www.nctsn.org/trauma-informed-care/creating-trauma-informed-systems

ASSIGNMENTS:

There is no assignment for this class.

Session 10: Growing Income Disparities, Lack of Opportunity, and Early Childhood Policies Targeted at Both Children and Their Families

THEMES: As we have discussed throughout this class, poverty has a pernicious effect on early development. Federal and state anti-poverty programs have generally targeted adults and not children in an attempt to support or improve the workforce preparation and participation of adult earners. These efforts included strategies (e.g., direct income transfers, workforce participation requirements for benefit eligibility) to improve family economic stability. In this week's class, we will examine an additional federal and state effort to disrupt poverty through policies that simultaneously target both young children and adults, namely two-generation approaches. We will read work from multiple disciplines (e.g., sociology, economics, child development) and from advocates, policy groups, and think tanks all concerned with factors that are contributing to increased economic and social inequality in the U.S. We will specifically read papers that examine the viability of two-generational strategies to lift both young children and their parents/families from poverty. Finally, we will examine the future of these programs from the perspective of leading experts on both child development, family support, and ECEC policy.

GOALS:

• To understand how growing inequality and diminished opportunity for many American children, birth to age 5, threatens both the working poor and middle-class families.

- To understand the goals, strategies, design, and effectiveness of two-generation approaches to both optimal child development and family stability and well-being.
- To consider the future of these programs and what children and families need in a society undergoing significant economic change.
- To consider appropriate policy responses and research efforts that might help to improve child outcomes and advance equity.

READINGS:

Chase-Lansdale, P. L., & Brooks-Gun, J. (2014). Two-generation programs in the twenty-first century. *Future of Children*, *24*(1), 13-39.

Hernandez, D. J. (2011). *Declining fortunes of children in middle-class families: Economic inequality and child well-being in the 21st century*. Foundation for Child Development. Retrieved from https://www.fcd-us.org/declining-fortunes-of-children-in-middle-class-families/

Kalil, A. (2014). *Addressing the parenting divide and children's life chances*. The Hamilton Project. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institute.

National Conference of State Legislators. (2018). *Two-generation approaches to addressing poverty: A toolkit for state legislators*. Washington, D.C.: Author. Retrieved from http://www.ncsl.org/Portals/1/Documents/cyf/2Gen toolkit f04 1.pdf

Shonkoff, J. P., & Fisher, P. A. (2013). Rethinking evidence-based practice and two-generation programs to create the future of early childhood policy. *Developmental Psychopathology*, 25(4.2), 1635-1653.

The Saguaro Seminar (2016). *Closing the opportunity gap*. Cambridge MA: Harvard Kennedy School. Retrieved from

https://theopportunitygap.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/april25.pdf

ASSIGNMENTS:

Final Paper: Students' final papers are distributed in this class to allow all students sufficient time to read and reflect on one another's papers prior to the last two class meetings, where papers will be presented and discussed. Each student will develop a final paper related to core topics of the course, specifically child development, equity and ECEC policy. This paper should reflect the student's personal research interests and possible intersections with course content. Students are expected to think critically and to develop a paper that deepens their understanding of course content, themes and readings. Manuscripts should be approximately 20 double-spaced pages in length and should adhere to APA format guidelines. By the fourth week of the semester each student must submit to the course instructor an abstract (300 to 500 words) that describes the nature of the manuscript that will be turned in at the end of the term. Course time will be allotted to discuss specifics of the assignment during the semester and students are strongly encouraged

to share their progress on their manuscript with their colleagues. As noted previously, in this class (Session 10) all students will electronically distribute a copy of their manuscript to the class. All class members are expected to critically read each other's papers and to come to class prepared to discuss them. In the final two weeks of class, students will briefly present their course papers to the class for discussion.

Sessions 11 and 12: Student Paper Presentations and Discussion.

THEMES: The final two classes are devoted to student paper presentations. Each student will be given sufficient time to present their work to the class. There will also be sufficient time for discussion and responses from colleagues. Presentations should respond to key themes and issues in this course:

- The unique developmental achievements of the first five years of life.
- The challenge of equity and social justice for many young children in the U.S.
- To what extent current ECEC policies address inequity and inequality associated with race, class, gender ,and other forms of oppression and privilege
- What policies are needed to both support optimal child development and eliminate oppression due to race, class, gender, and other forms of oppression?
- How does what we have learned in this class contribute to your professional development and work?

GOALS:

- To learn what ideas and issues related to child development, equity, and ECEC policy motivate your colleagues.
- To share your professional goals and interests related to course themes and content with your colleagues.
- To practice discussions with colleagues that require critique and analytical thinking, and to become comfortable with principled debate over ideas, not personalities.
- To understand how each individual approaches the same content in different, creative, and unique ways.

READINGS:

Student papers: Come to class having carefully read each student paper, and with at least two substantive questions or issues you want to discuss with the author of each paper. Also, be fully prepared to present your paper and to engage with class colleagues in discussion of your work.

ASSIGNMENTS:

There are no additional readings for this class.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

(To be modified according to university/college policies and procedures)

Accommodations for students with disabilities

The College will make reasonable accommodations for persons with documented disabilities.

Incompletes

The grade of Incomplete will be assigned only when the course attendance requirement has been met but, for reasons satisfactory to the instructor, the granting of a final grade has been postponed because certain course assignments are outstanding. If the outstanding assignments are completed within one calendar year from the date of the close of term in which the grade of Incomplete was received and a final grade submitted, the final grade will be recorded on the permanent transcript, replacing the grade of Incomplete, with a transcript notation indicating the date that the grade of Incomplete was replaced by a final grade. If the outstanding work is not completed within one calendar year from the date of the close of term in which the grade of Incomplete was received, the grade will remain as a permanent Incomplete on the transcript. In such instances, if the course is a required course or part of an approved program of study, students will be required to re-enroll in the course including repayment of all tuition and fee charges for the new registration and satisfactorily complete all course requirements. If the required course is not offered in subsequent terms, the student should speak with the faculty advisor or Program Coordinator about their options for fulfilling the degree requirement. Doctoral students with six or more credits with grades of Incomplete included on their program of study will not be allowed to sit for the certification exam.

Course Communication

All official communications from the College - e.g., information on graduation, announcements of closing due to severe storm, flu epidemic, transportation disruption, etc. -- will be sent to the student's email account, students are responsible for either reading email there, or, for utilizing the mail forwarding option to forward mail from their account to an email address which they will monitor.

Religious Observances

It is the policy of the University to respect its members' observance of their major religious holidays. Students should notify instructors at the beginning of the semester about their wishes to observe holidays on days when classes are scheduled. Where academic scheduling conflicts prove unavoidable, no student will be penalized for absence due to religious reasons, and alternative means will be sought for satisfying the academic requirements involved. If a suitable arrangement cannot be worked out between the student and the instructor, students and instructors should consult the appropriate department chair or director. If an additional appeal is needed, it may be taken to the Provost.

Academic Dishonesty

Students who intentionally submit work either not their own or without clear attribution to the original source, fabricate data or other information, engage in cheating, or misrepresentation of academic records may be subject to charges. Sanctions may include dismissal from the college for violation of University principles of academic and professional integrity fundamental to the purpose of the College.